Attorney's Docket No.: 10830-084001 / A36-137204M/MAN

26/3 192)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Yoshizo Honda

Art Unit : 2613

Serial No.: 10/032,237

Examiner: Patrick H. Cathey II

Filed

: December 21, 2001

Title : MI

: METHOD FOR EVALUATING DYNAMIC IMAGE CODE

COMMUNICATIONS, AND APPARATUS FOR EVALUATING THE SAME

Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY TO ACTION OF OCTOBER 22, 2004

Applicant thanks the Examiner for recognizing that claims 6-15 and 20-27 include allowable subject matter.

Claims 1-5 and 16-19, however, were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as unpatentable over U.S. Patent Nos. 5,509,020 (Iwakiri et al.) in view of 6,697,433 (Isu et al.).

The Law of Obviousness

A claimed invention is unpatentable due to obviousness if the differences between it and the prior art "are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person of ordinary skill in the art." 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY FIRST CLASS MAIL

I hereby certify under 37 CFR §1.8(a) that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail with sufficient postage on the date indicated below and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date of Deposit

Signature

Typed or Printed Name of Person Signing Certificate